IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO.412 OF 2003

Shri.Pramod Ramchandra Tilekar ...Applicant.

Vs.

Smt.Shobha Pramod Tilekar
and 2 Ors. ...Respondents.

Mr.Ambar Joshi, Advocate for the applicant.

**CORAM : SMT.RANJANA DESAI AND
SMT.V.K.TAHILRAMANI,JJ.**

DATED : OCTOBER 10, 2006.

P.C.:-

1. We have heard the learned counsel for the applicant.

2. In this revision application, the applicant has challenged the order dated 3rd June, 2003 passed by the Family Court at Pune. By the impugned order, the applicant is directed to pay Rs.1500/- per month each to his wife and his son.

3. We find no infirmity in the impugned judgment and order. The applicant is a typist and he sits near the court building at Baramati and earns his

livelihood by taking typing work from the litigants. The learned Judge, in our opinion, had rightly assessed the income of the applicant. In any case, no case is made out for interference with the maintenance amount which is very meagre considering the steep price rise. However, in case there is any change in circumstances, the applicant may move the trial Court for appropriate relief.

4. With the above observation, revision application is dismissed.

[SMT.RANJANA DESAI,J.]

[SMT.V.K.TAHILRAMANI,J.]